

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,778	04/19/2005	Sean Adkins	10002/315087	6051
23370 7550 0821/2008 JOHN S, PRATT, ESQ KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP 1100 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309		EXAM	INER	
		JOSEPH, DENNIS P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/531,778	ADKINS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DENNIS P. JOSEPH	2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- Failure to Any repl	prior for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MCNITHS from the mailing date of this communication, or epily within the six or readended perior for reply will, by the sixtude, cause the application to become ABANDONEC IS (3LS, C, § 133), y received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any patient term adjustment. See 37 CF1 1,704(b).
Status	
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 24 March 2008.
2a)∏ Th	his action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
3)□ Si	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
cle	osed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition	n of Claims
4)⊠ CI	laim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.
4a	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)□ CI	laim(s) is/are allowed.
6)□ CI	laim(s) is/are rejected.
7) CI	laim(s) is/are objected to.
8)⊠ CI	laim(s) <u>1-38</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application	n Papers

<li>9) The specifical The specifical</li>	cation is objected	d to by the	Examiner.
---	--------------------	-------------	-----------

10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:	

- Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
- 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
- Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(	s
-------------	---

1) 🗀	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3)	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/DE)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

4) 🖂	Interview Summary (PTO-413
4) 🗀	Therefore Summary (FTO-413

 Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/531,778 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

## DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-18 and 34-38, drawn to a device and method for regulating spatial light modulators and a plurality of light sources, classified in class 349, subclass 25.
  - II. Claims 19-33, drawn to a device for spatial light modulators with adjustable bandpass filters, classified in class 348, subclass 289.
- The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, there are different functions and different effects. Invention I relates to an SLM device with an emphasis on the control signals and vectors for each of the secondary light sources, classified in class 349, subclass 25, which is for display elements and systems. Invention II relates to an SLM device with an emphasis on multiple bandpass filters and their positioning in/on the device.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104.

Art Unit: 2629

See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 2629

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

### Conclusions

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Dennis P. Joseph whose telephone number is 571-270-1459. The
examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

Art Unit: 2629

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on 571-272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DJ

/AMR AWAD/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629